

2019-2020 Candidate Effectiveness of Teaching in the Classroom

Distribution

Data was collected by cooperating teachers, teacher candidates, and college supervisors using the Valid and Reliable Instruments for Educator Preparation Programs (VARI-EPP) Candidate Preserve Assessment of Student Teaching (CPAST). This provides triangulation between the observations of the cooperating teacher and the college supervisor with a self-assessment from the student-teacher. CPAST is a formative and summative assessment during the student teaching practicum.

Rating Scale

Candidates were rated by their cooperating teacher, college supervisor, and by self-evaluation. The CPAST Form is intended to accurately reflect the student teacher's performance at the middle and end of the student teaching experience and provide formative feedback to the student-teacher.

A three-way conference is held twice a semester, midterm (formative) and final (summative), with the cooperating teacher, college supervisor, and student-teacher. The meeting ensures that the perspective of each member is taken into consideration when evaluating the student-teacher. The first three-way conference provides an opportunity for self and formative assessment. It helps the student-teacher identify areas of strengths and opportunities for growth in the remaining time in the placement. The second three-way conference serves as the summative assessment of the student teaching experience and occurs during the final week of the student teaching experience. This conference identifies areas of strength and opportunities for growth in their future teaching career. At each conference, each participant (CT, CS, ST) shares the ratings and evidence they wrote on their CPAST form. A consensus score of "0" or "1" alerts the team that the student-teacher needs to focus on that area and additional support from the cooperating teacher and college supervisor.

CPAST averages were calculated from: Exceeds Expectations = 3 points; Meets Expectations = 2 points; Emerging 1 point; Does Not Meet Expectations (0 points).

2019-20 Completers	CPAST		Midterm	Final
			Mean	Mean
Pedagogy: Planning for Instruction and Assessment				
A	Focus for Learning: Standards and Objectives/Targets		2.56	
B	Materials and Resources		2.67	
C	Assessment of P-12 Learning		2.33	
D	Differentiated Methods		2.33	
Pedagogy: Instructional Delivery				
E	Learning Target and Directions		2.56	
F	Critical Thinking		2.22	
G	Checking for Understanding and Adjusting Instruction through Formative Assessment		2.44	
H	Digital Tools and Resources		2.56	
I	Safe and Respectful Learning Environment		2.67	
Pedagogy: Assessment				
J	Data-Guided Instruction		2.22	
K	Feedback to Learners		2.56	
L	Assessment Techniques		2.22	
Pedagogy: Analysis of Teaching				
M	Connections to Research and Theory		2.0	
Disposition: Professional Commitment and Behaviors				
N	Participates in Professional Development		2.44	
O	Demonstrates Effective Communication with Parents or Legal Guardians		2.44	
P	Demonstrates Punctuality		2.89	
Q	Meets Deadlines and Obligations		2.67	
Disposition: Professional Relationships				
R	Preparation		2.56	
S	Collaboration		2.67	
T	Advocacy to Meet the Needs of Learners or for the Teaching Profession		2.11	
Disposition: Critical Thinking and Reflective Practice				
U	Responds Positively to Constructive Criticism		2.78	

ELED K-6 PE/Health	N=7 N=1	Midterm Mean	Final
Pedagogy		2.41	
Dispositions		2.46	

Summary

Overall, teacher candidates scored at “meets expectations” or “exceeds expectations” in every category for each Pedagogical area: Planning for Instruction and assessment; Instructional Delivery; Assessment; and Analysis of Teaching. Unfortunately, due to COVID-19 student teachers were not provided a final three-way meeting as schools and the college closed March 15, 2020. WVDE allowed student teachers to be licensed if the teacher candidate demonstrated satisfaction to the college supervisor and mentor teacher.